

California Board of Corrections
Juvenile Crime Enforcement and Accountability Challenge Grant II
Demonstration Grant Criteria and Scoring (200 Points Possible)

I. Technical Requirements (Pass/Fail, 18 Points) *Up to 6 points priority for match exceeding 25%, 6 points for counties over 500,000 population and violent crime rate exceeding the state average, and up to 6 points for proposals with multicounty juvenile justice programs.*

1. Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council
 - Appropriate membership and chair
 - Continuum of county-based responses to juvenile crime
2. Local Action Plan
 - Multiagency
 - Maximizes collaboration and integrated services of resources
 - Assessment of resources
 - Identification and prioritization of neighborhoods, schools, areas facing significant public safety risk
 - Plan improves and marshals resources to reduce juvenile crime in identified areas and the greater community
 - Includes all elements of responses from prevention to incapacitation
 - Includes strategies to develop and implement locally based or regionally based out-of-home placement options
 - Information and intelligence sharing systems developed and related to outcome measures
 - Required Outcome Measures include:
 - A. Rate of Juvenile arrest
 - B. Rate of successful completion of probation
 - C. Rate of successful completion of restitution and community service
3. Grant Funds to be used for programs identified in Local Action Plan
4. Definition and size of at-risk population is clearly described
5. Time frames/Implementation Schedule
6. 25% Match (6 points)
Priority to \$ over 25%. Up to 6 points for match above required 25%.
7. Population exceeds 500,000 and violent crime rate is above state average (6 points)
Based on most recent California Department of Justice information.
8. The proposal is a multicounty juvenile justice project (6 points)
Up to 6 points on a comparative scale determined by Executive Steering Committee after review of proposals.

II. BOC Minimum Standards (120 points)

1. History (30 points)
 - Demonstrated history to administer programs
 - Demonstrated history to provide and develop a continuum of responses
 - Demonstrated history of implementing collaborative and integrated approach to juvenile crime
 - History of maximizing funding
2. Proposal demonstrates collaborative approach (20 Points)
3. Proposal demonstrates ability to administer project (20 Points)
4. Proposal demonstrates ability to provide a continuum of responses to juvenile crime (10 Points)
5. How program will continue after state funding ends (20 points)
6. Evaluation Design and Outcome Measures (Up to 20 points of grant scoring for quality of evaluation design and outcome measures. Includes completed Research Design Summary Form for each program within the project)
 - an acceptable research design
 - True Experimental
 - Match Groups
 - Historical Group
 - Geographical area summary statistics
 - adequate description of the research sample and an acceptable sample size
 - clear and complete description of the methodology, and the treatment and comparison interventions
 - clear description of the independent and dependent variables
 - adequate statement of the hypotheses and of the approaches to hypothesis testing
 - appropriateness of the methodology and research design for the study of the effects of the proposed intervention

III. BOC Additional Requirements (62 points)

1. Demonstration proposal is based upon needs identified in the Local Action Plan (20 points)
2. Cost Effectiveness (10 points)
3. Completeness of Response (20 points)
4. Consistency between Proposal and Presentation (12 points)